### Introduction to the Calculus of Variations Lecture Notes Lecture 16

Swarnendu Sil

Spring 2021, IISc

### Chapter 1

# Sobolev spaces

### 1.1 Definitions

- **1.2** Elementary properties
- **1.3** Approximation and extension
- 1.4 Traces
- 1.5 Sobolev inequalities and Sobolev embeddings
- 1.5.1 Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequalities
- 1.5.2 Poincaré-Sobolev inequalities
- 1.5.3 Morrey's inequality

#### 1.5.4 Rellich-Kondrachov compact embeddings

#### Compactness in $L^p$ spaces

Now we proceed to the question of compactness of the Sobolev embeddings. But before stating the result, we first record a criterion for compactness in  $L^{q}(\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 1** (Kolmogorov-M.Riesz-Frechet). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a bounded subset of  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with  $1 \leq p < \infty$  such that

$$\lim_{|h|\to 0} \|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0 \qquad \text{uniformly in } u \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Then the closure of  $\mathcal{F}|_{\Omega}$  is **compact** in  $L^{p}(\Omega)$  for any measurable  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$  with finite measure.

**Remark 2.** Here  $\tau_h$  is the translation operator, i.e.

$$\tau_h u(x) := u(x+h)$$
 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Since this result is often proved in measure and integral courses while studying  $L^p$  spaces, we omit the proof. A detailed proof can be found at page 111 in Theorem 4.26 of [1].

#### **Rellich-Kondrachov compact embeddings**

Now we state our main result.

**Theorem 3** (Rellich-Kondrachov compact embeddings). Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open bounded and smooth. Then the following injections are all **compact** 

$W^{1,p}\left(\Omega\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q}\left(\Omega\right)$	for all $1 \le q < p^*$	for $1 \leq p < n$ ,
$W^{1,p}\left(\Omega\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q}\left(\Omega\right)$	for all $1 \leq q < \infty$	for $p = n$ ,
$W^{1,p}\left(\Omega\right) \hookrightarrow C\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$		for $n .$

*Proof.* The case p > n follows from Morrey's inequality and Ascoli-Arzela theorem. The case p = n can be deduced from the case  $1 \le p < n$  case. So we just prove this later case. Also, since  $\Omega$  is bounded, clearly it is enough to prove the result for  $p \le q < p^*$ .

By using extension and the Kolmogororv-M.Riesz-Frechet theorem, we only need to show

$$\lim_{|h|\to 0} \|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0 \qquad \text{uniformly in } u \in \mathcal{F},$$

for any  $p \leq q < p^*$  and any bounded subset  $\mathcal{F} \subset W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . But we have, by interpolation,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^q} &\leq \|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^p}^{\alpha} \|\tau_h u - u\|_{L^{p*}}^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq c \, |h|^{\alpha} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}^{\alpha} \|u\|_{L^{p*}}^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq cM \, |h|^{\alpha} \,. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.

**Remark 4.** • In fact, using interpolation inequalities, as we did in the proof above, but for Hölder spaces instead of  $L^p$  spaces, we can actually conclude that if  $n < \infty$ ,  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  embeds compactly into  $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  for every  $0 \le \alpha < 1 - \frac{n}{p}$ .

• Note that the theorem **does not claim** that the embedding of  $W^{1,p}$  into  $L^{p^*}$  in the case  $1 \leq p < n$  is compact. In fact, this injection, though continuous, is never compact. See Example 5 for a generic counterexample. The same counter-example also shows that the continuous injection of  $W^{1,p}$  into  $C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}$  is also never compact for  $n . It also shows that <math>W^{1,n} \cap L^{\infty}$  also does not compactly embed in  $L^{\infty}$ .

#### Lack of compactness at the critical exponent

**Example 5.** Let  $u \in C_c^{\infty}(B_1^n)$  with  $u(0) \neq 0$ . Set

$$u_{\varepsilon}(x) := u\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$$
 and  $v_{\varepsilon}(x) := \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{n-p}{p}} u_{\varepsilon}(x).$ 

Now  $u_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon} \in W^{1,p}(B_1^n)$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Case I: the case  $1 \le p < n$ ,

We compute

$$\|v_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{q}\left(B_{1}^{n}\right)} = \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\frac{n-p}{p}} \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{q}\left(B_{1}^{n}\right)} = \varepsilon^{n\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{n}\right)} \|u\|_{L^{q}\left(B_{1}^{n}\right)}.$$

for any  $1 \leq q \leq p^*$ . Similarly, we have

$$\left\|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{1}^{n}\right)}=\left\|\nabla u\right\|_{L^{p}\left(B_{1}^{n}\right)}.$$

Thus, the sequence  $\{v_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$  is uniformly bounded in  $W^{1,p}(B_1^n)$  and  $v_{\varepsilon} \to 0$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  in  $L^q(B_1^n)$  for any  $1 \leq q < p^*$ . Thus if  $\{v_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$  admits a convergent subsequence, by uniqueness of the limit, the limit must be the zero function. But no subsequence of  $\{v_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$  can converge strongly in  $L^{p^*}$  to the zero function, as we clearly have

$$\|v_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p^*}(B_1^n)} = \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(B_1^n)} > 0 \qquad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

So there can be no subsequence which is strongly convergent in  $L^{p^*}(B_1^n)$  at all. Case II: the case n ,

We can prove exactly as before that  $\{v_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$  is uniformly bounded in  $W^{1,p}(B_1^n)$ . Since p > n, it is also clear that

$$\|v_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{0}(\overline{B_{1}^{n}})} \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{p-n}{p}} \|u\|_{C^{0}(\overline{B_{1}^{n}})} \to 0 \qquad as \quad \varepsilon \to 0.$$

So as before, if subsequential limit must be the zero function. Now it is clear that  $\operatorname{supp} v_{\varepsilon} \subset B(0,\varepsilon)$ . Now, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for any  $y \in \partial B(0,\varepsilon)$ , we compute

$$\frac{|v_{\varepsilon}\left(0\right)-v_{\varepsilon}\left(y\right)|}{|y-0|^{(1-\frac{n}{p})}}=\frac{|v_{\varepsilon}\left(0\right)|}{|y|^{(1-\frac{n}{p})}}=\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{p-n}{p}}\left|u\left(0\right)\right|}{\varepsilon^{(1-\frac{n}{p})}}=|u\left(0\right)|>0.$$

Thus, we deduce

$$\left[v_{\varepsilon}\right]_{C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}\left(\overline{B_{1}^{n}}\right)} \geq \frac{\left|v_{\varepsilon}\left(0\right) - v_{\varepsilon}\left(y\right)\right|}{\left|y - 0\right|^{\left(1-\frac{n}{p}\right)}} = \left|u\left(0\right)\right| > 0,$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus, there can be no subsequence of  $\{v_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$  which is strongly convergent in  $C^{0,1-\frac{n}{p}}(\overline{B_1^n})$  at all.

#### Case III: the case p = n,

Note that for p = n,  $v_{\varepsilon} = u_{\varepsilon}$ . In general,  $W^{1,n}$  functions need not be  $L^{\infty}$ . But here, since u being smooth is  $L^{\infty}$ , so is  $v_{\varepsilon}$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Also, we have, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}^{n}\right)}=\left\|u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}^{n}\right)}$$

As before,  $\{v_{\varepsilon}\}_{\varepsilon}$  is uniformly bounded in  $W^{1,n}(B_1^n)$  and hence by virtue of the last equality, also in  $W^{1,n}(B_1^n) \cap L^{\infty}(B_1^n)$ . But the same equality tells us that no subsequence can converge to zero function in the  $L^{\infty}$ , which is the only possible candidate for the limit as before. This proves that the embedding of  $W^{1,n}(B_1^n) \cap L^{\infty}(B_1^n)$  into  $L^{\infty}(B_1^n)$ . can not be compact.

#### Summary of Sobolev embeddings

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open bounded and smooth.

• If  $1 \le p < n$ , then the injections

 $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \quad \text{for all } 1 \le q \le p^*$ 

are continuous. These injections are compact for  $1 \le q < p^*$ , but not for  $q = p^*$ .

• The injections

$$W^{1,n}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \quad \text{for all } 1 \le q < \infty$$

are all **continuous** and **compact**.  $W^{1,n}(\Omega)$  **does not** embed continuously in  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Also, the injection of  $W^{1,n}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  into  $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  is **not** compact.

• If n , then the injections

$$W^{1,p}\left(\Omega\right) \hookrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega}\right) \quad \text{ for all } 0 \le \alpha \le 1 - \frac{n}{p}$$

are continuous. These injections are compact for  $0 \le \alpha < 1 - \frac{n}{p}$ , but not for  $\alpha = 1 - \frac{n}{p}$ .

### Chapter 2

## **Direct** methods

### 2.1 Dirichlet Integral

Now we are ready to begin our study of the modern direct methods in the calculus of variations. Let  $n \geq 2, N \geq 1$  be integers and let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open bounded and smooth. Let  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then the functional

$$\mathcal{D}\left[u\right] := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left|\nabla u\right|^2$$

is called the **Dirichlet integral** of u. Note that for any  $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ , we have

$$\mathcal{D}\left[u\right]<\infty.$$

Now we want to minimize the Dirichlet integral with a given Dirichlet boundary value.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $n \geq 2, N \geq 1$  be integers and let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open bounded and smooth. Let  $u_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$  be given. Then the following problem

$$\inf\left\{\mathcal{D}\left[u\right] := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left|\nabla u\right|^{2} : u \in u_{0} + W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\} = m$$

admits an **unique** minimizer  $\bar{u} \in u_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Moreover,  $\bar{u}$  is a **weak** solution of the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \bar{u} = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \bar{u} = u_0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

i.e. satisfies the weak form of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\langle \nabla \bar{u}, \nabla \phi \right\rangle = 0 \qquad \text{for all } \phi \in W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N\right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_s\}_{s\geq 1} \subset u_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$  be a minimizing sequence, i.e

$$\mathcal{D}\left[u_s\right] \to m \qquad \text{as } s \to \infty$$

#### Uniform bound for minimizing sequence

Since  $u_s - u_0 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$  for every  $s \ge 1$ , by Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{s} - u_{0}\|_{W^{1,2}} &\leq c \, \|\nabla u_{s} - \nabla u_{0}\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq c \sqrt{\mathcal{D} [u_{s}]} + c \, \|\nabla u_{0}\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq c \sqrt{m+1} + c \, \|\nabla u_{0}\|_{L^{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$||u_s||_{W^{1,2}} \le ||u_s - u_0||_{W^{1,2}} + ||u_0||_{W^{1,2}} \le c\sqrt{m} + 1 + c ||u_0||_{W^{1,2}}.$$

This proves that  $\{u_s\}_{s\geq 1}$  is uniformly bounded in  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ . Since  $W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$  is reflexive, the uniform bound implies that there exists  $\bar{u} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that up to the extraction of a subsequence, which we do not relabel, we have

$$u_s \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$$
 weakly in  $W^{1,2}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N\right)$ .

#### Sequential weak lower semicontinuity

Now we wish to prove that

$$\liminf_{s \to \infty} \mathcal{D}\left[u_s\right] \ge \mathcal{D}\left[\bar{u}\right].$$

We have,

$$\begin{split} 2\mathcal{D}\left[u_{s}\right] &= \int_{\Omega}\left\langle \nabla u_{s} - \nabla \bar{u} + \nabla \bar{u}, \nabla u_{s} - \nabla \bar{u} + \nabla \bar{u}\right\rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega}\left\langle \nabla u_{s} - \nabla \bar{u}, \nabla u_{s} - \nabla \bar{u}\right\rangle + 2\int_{\Omega}\left\langle \nabla u_{s} - \nabla \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}\right\rangle \\ &+ \int_{\Omega}\left\langle \nabla \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}\right\rangle \\ &\geq 2\mathcal{D}\left[\bar{u}\right] + 2\int_{\Omega}\left\langle \nabla u_{s} - \nabla \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}\right\rangle. \end{split}$$

Since

 $u_s \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$  weakly in  $W^{1,2}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N\right)$ .

implies

$$\nabla u_s \rightharpoonup \nabla \bar{u}$$
 weakly in  $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$ ,

we deduce that

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left\langle \nabla u_s - \nabla \bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u} \right\rangle = 0.$$

This proves the weak lower semicontinuity.

Thus, we have

$$m \leq \mathcal{D}\left[\bar{u}\right] \leq \liminf_{s \to \infty} \mathcal{D}\left[u_s\right] = m.$$

Hence  $\bar{u}$  is a minimzer.

#### Uniqueness

Suppose  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{v}$  are both minimizers. Then let  $\bar{w} := \frac{1}{2} (\bar{u} + \bar{v})$ . Then we can see

$$m \leq \mathcal{D}\left[\bar{w}\right] \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}\left[\bar{u}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}\left[\bar{v}\right] \leq m.$$

So  $\bar{w}$  is also a minimizer and hence we obtain,

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left| \nabla \bar{u} \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \nabla \bar{u} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{\nabla \bar{u} + \nabla \bar{v}}{2} \right|^2 \right) = 0.$$

But this implies

$$\frac{1}{2} |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 - \left| \frac{\nabla \bar{u} + \nabla \bar{v}}{2} \right|^2 = 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

But this is impossible unless u = v by the strict convexity of the function  $\xi \mapsto |\xi|^2$ .

#### **Euler-Lagrange** equations

Now if  $\bar{u}$  is a minimizer, we must have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \mathcal{D} \left[ \bar{u} + t\phi \right] \right) \bigg|_{t=0} = 0$$

for any  $\phi\in C^\infty_c\left(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^N\right).$  Thus we compute

$$0 = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{\Omega} \left[ |\nabla \bar{u} + t \nabla \phi|^2 - |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 \right]$$
$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{\Omega} \left[ t \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \bar{u} \rangle + t^2 |\phi|^2 \right]$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \bar{u} \rangle.$$

But the fact that  $\nabla \bar{u} \in L^2$  and the density of  $C_c^{\infty}$  functions in  $W_0^{1,2}$  implies that the identity holds for any  $\phi \in W_0^{1,2}$  as well, i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\langle \nabla \phi, \nabla \bar{u} \right\rangle = 0 \qquad \text{for any } \phi \in W_0^{1,2}\left(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N\right).$$

This completes the proof.

# Bibliography

[1] BREZIS, H. Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2011.