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1. Prove Fermat's theorem that every local extrema of a $C^{1}$ function is a critical point. In fact, the theorem is true without the $C^{1}$ assumption if by critical point we mean not just stationary points, but also all points where the function is not differentiable. Give an example of a convex function which has an unique local minima which is also global at a point where the function is not differentiable.
2. Suppose $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $C^{1}$ and $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a critical point of $F$. If $F$ is $C^{2}$ in a neighbourhood of $x_{0}$, then prove that
(a) $x_{0}$ is a local minima implies $\nabla^{2} F\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0$.
(b) Conversely, $\nabla^{2} F\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ implies $x_{0}$ is a local minima.
(c) Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
F(x, y)=x^{2}-y^{2}
$$

Sketch its graph. Convince yourself that the origin $(0,0)$ is a nondegenrate critical point ( i.e. a ciritcal point where the Hessian is nonsingular ) where the Hessian is indefinite and $F$ have neither a local maxima nor a local minima at the origin. A critical point which is not a local extrema is called a saddle point. The graph of this function is an example of a hyperbolic paraboloid. Prove that the intersection of the graph with either the $x z$ or the $y z$-plane passing through the origin are graphs of two functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ both of which have a local extrema at $0 \in \mathbb{R}$, one of them being a minima and the other a maxima.
(d) Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
F(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{3}
$$

Investigate its critical point at the origin. Is it nondegenerate? Is it a local extrema or a saddle? Consider the two functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ whose graphs are obtained by intersecting the graph of $F$ with axial planes passing through the origin as before. Do they have an extrema at the origin this time?
(e) Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
F(x, y)=x^{4}-y^{4}
$$

Investigate its critical point at the origin. Is it nondegenerate? Is it a local extrema or a saddle? Consider the two functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ whose graphs are obtained by intersecting the graph of $F$ with axial planes passing through the origin as before. Do they have an extrema at the origin this time?
(f) Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
F(x, y)=x^{3}-3 x y^{2}
$$

Investigate its critical point at the origin. Is it nondegenerate? Is it a local extrema or a saddle? The graph is called a monkey saddle. Consider the two functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ whose graphs are obtained by intersecting the graph of $F$ with axial planes passing through the origin as before. Do they have an extrema at the origin this time? What kind of critical points do they have? Take any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ in the $x y$-plane and consider the vertical ( $z$-axis ) plane passing through that vector. Intersect the graph of $F$ by this plane to obtain the graph of a function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ as before. Study what kind of critical points would this function have and how would it depend on your choice of $v$.
3. Let $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a critical point of $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is convex and $C^{1}$.
(a) Prove that $x_{0}$ is a local minima.
(b) Show that it must also be a global minima.
(c) Give examples (with sketches of graphs) of $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to illustrate that neither conclusion is valid if the convexity assumption is dropped.
(d) Give an example (with sketches of graphs) of a convex, smooth function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with multiple minima.
(e) Show that if $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly convex, i.e. satisfies

$$
F(t x+(1-t) y)<t F(x)+(1-t) F(y)
$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for every $t \in(0,1)$, then $F$ has at most one local minima. Of course, if there exists one, it is global.
(f) Give an example (with sketches of graphs) of a strictly convex, smooth function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ without a minima.
(g) A function $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called strongly convex if there exists a constant $c>0$ such that

$$
x \mapsto f(x)-c\|x\|^{2}
$$

is convex.
i. Show that if $F$ is strongly convex, $F$ has an unique local minima which is also global.
ii. Show that strong convexity implies strict convexity. Can you think of a function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is strictly convex but not strongly convex?
4. Prove that any convex function $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally Lipschitz. In fact, the same result is true if $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is only separately convex, i.e. convex in each variable separately. In particular, a convex function is lower semicontinuous. (Do not get discouraged if you do not solve it. This one is not quite trivial.)

## 5. (Coercivity conditions)

(a) Give example of a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is norm-coercive but nor coercive. ( This is the only place where we would distinguish between coercivity and norm-coercivity. Henceforth, coercive would mean norm-coercive).
(b) Show that a function with superlinear growth at infinity is coercive. Suppose $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\alpha$-growth condition at infinity, i.e.

$$
\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F(x)|}{\|x\|^{\alpha}}=+\infty
$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. What condition on $\alpha$ is sufficient for coercivity of $F$ ? Give examples to show the optimality of the condition.
(c) Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous.
i. Show that $F$ is coercive if and only if for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\|F(x)\| \leq \alpha\right\}$ is compact.
ii. Show that if $F$ is coercive, then $F$ either has at least one global minima or is unbounded below. Give an example to show this is no longer true without the continuity hypothesis on $F$. Can you think of a weaker hypothesis?
(d) Show that if $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strongly convex and $C^{1}$, then $F$ is both coercive and bounded below.

