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## Proof of main result (sketch)

Clearly (1) $\Longrightarrow$ (3). We now show (3) $\Longrightarrow(2)$, assuming $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{N-1}>0>c^{\prime}$ and $\geq$
Note that (1), (3) can be reformulated via linear matrix inequalities:

$$
f[A] \in \mathcal{P}_{N} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad A^{\circ M} \leqslant t \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} c_{j} A^{\circ j} .
$$

Question: How small cant $t=\left|c^{\prime}\right|^{-1}$ be? Note by Equation (2):
$0 \leqslant \operatorname{det} p_{t}\left[\mathbf{u u}{ }^{T}\right]=t^{N-1} \Delta_{N}(\mathbf{u})^{2} c_{0} \cdots c_{N-1}\left(t-\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{s_{\mu(M, N, j)}(\mathbf{u})^{2}}{c_{j}}\right)$.

Set $u_{k}:=\sqrt{\rho}\left(1-t^{\prime} \epsilon_{k}\right)$, with pairwise distinct $\epsilon_{k} \in(0,1)$, and $t^{\prime} \in(0,1)$. Thus, $\Delta_{N}(\mathbf{u}) \neq$ Taking the limit as $t^{\prime} \rightarrow 0^{+}$, since the final term in (3) must be non-negative, it follows that
$t \geqslant \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{s_{\mu(M, N, j)}(\sqrt{\rho}, \ldots, \sqrt{\rho})^{2}}{c_{j}}=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} s_{\mu(M, N, j)}(1, \ldots, 1)^{2} \cdot \frac{\rho^{M-j}}{c_{j}}=\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{c} ; z^{M} ; N, \rho\right)$.
That $s_{\mu(M, N, j)}(1, \ldots, 1)=\binom{M}{j}\binom{M-j-1}{N-j-1}$ follows using the Weyl Dimension Formula in
ype $A$; or the dual Jacobi-Trudi (Von Nägelsbach-Kostka) identity
A refined analysis of the proof shows that in fact, $f[A] \in \mathcal{P}_{N}$ is generically positive definite.
Suppose $N>1$, and $A \in \mathcal{P}_{N}(\bar{D}(0, \rho)$ has a row with pairwise distinct entries. Define $f(z):=c_{0}+\cdots+c_{N-1} z^{N-1}-\mathcal{C}\left(\mathbf{c} ; z^{M} ; N, \rho\right)^{-1} z^{M}$. Then $f[A]$ is positive definite.
This uses the connection to Schur polynomials and semi-standard Young tableaux (see [I]).

## Alternative variational approach:

Rayleigh quotients via Schur polynomials
Given $\mathbf{c}=\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{N-1}\right)$, define $h_{\mathbf{c}}(z):=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} c_{j} z^{j}$. Want the smallest constant $t>0$ with $A^{\circ M} \leqslant t \cdot h_{c}[A]$,
for all $A \in \mathcal{P}_{N}(\bar{D}(0, \rho))$, or for all rank-one psd matrices $A$.
Strategy:

1. First produce optimal constant $\Psi_{\mathbf{c}, M}(A)$ for a single matrix: $A^{\circ M} \leqslant \Psi_{\mathbf{c}, M}(A) \cdot h_{\mathbf{c}}[A]$.
2. Maximize $\Psi_{\mathbf{c}, M}(A)$ over $A \in \mathcal{P}_{N}(\bar{D}(0, \rho))$.

## he first step is addressed by the following resul.

## Theorem 4 (III), Adv. Math. 2016)

## (

$$
\Psi_{\mathbf{c}, M}(A)=\sup _{v \in S^{2 N-1} \cap\left(\underline{k e r} h_{\mathrm{c}}[A)^{2}\right.} \frac{v^{*} A^{*} h_{\mathbf{c}}[A] v}{v^{\prime}[A] v}=\varrho\left(h_{\mathbf{c}}[A]^{\dagger / 2} A^{\circ M} h_{\mathbf{c}}[A]^{\dagger / 2}\right)
$$

where $\varrho(C), C^{\dagger}$ denote the spectral radius and Moore-Penrose inverse of $C$, respectively.

## he proof uses the theory of Kronecker normal forms.

Novel connections: Rayleigh quotients to Schur polynomials If $A=\mathbf{u u}^{*}$, the Rayleigh quotient $\Psi_{\mathbf{c}, M}(A)$ can be written using Schur polynomials!
Theorem 5 (III], FPSAC 2016)
If $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^{N}$ has distinct coordinates and $A=\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{*}$, then $h_{\mathrm{c}}[A]$ is invertible, and
$\Psi_{\mathbf{c}, M}\left(\mathbf{u u}^{*}\right)=\left(\mathbf{u}^{\circ} \mathrm{M}^{*}\right)_{\mathrm{c}}\left[\mathbf{u u ^ { * }}\right]^{-1} \mathbf{u}^{\circ M}=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\left|s_{\mu(M, N, j)}(\mathbf{u})\right|^{2}}{c_{j}}$.
otice, this result immediately implies Main Theorem (3) $\Longrightarrow$ (2)
The proof of (2) $\Longrightarrow$ (1) is more involved (see [1] for details).

## Reference

A. Betlon, D. Guillot, A. Khare, and M. Putinar. Matrix positivity preservers in fixed dimension. I.

